

GOVERNING BODY WORKSHOP MINUTES
Roeland Park City Hall
4600 W 51st Street, Roeland Park, KS 66205
Monday, January 3, 2017 6:00 P.M.

- Joel Marquardt, Mayor
- Becky Fast, Council Member
- Tim Janssen, Council Member
- Ryan Kellerman, Council Member

- Teresa Kelly, Council Member
- Sheri McNeil, Council Member
- Michael Poppa, Council Member
- Michael Rhoades, Council Member
- Erin Thompson, Council Member

- Keith Moody, City Administrator
- Jennifer Jones-Lacy, Asst. Admin.
- Kelley Bohon, City Clerk
- John Morris, Police Chief
- Jose Leon, Public Works Director

Admin

Poppa
Fast

Finance

Kelly
Janssen

Safety

Thompson
Rhoades

Public Works

Kellerman
McNeil

CMBR Thompson called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. CMBRS Fast and Kellerman were absent.

I. MINUTES

1. November 7, 2016
2. November 21, 2016

The November 7 and November 21, 2016 Governing Body Workshop minutes were approved as submitted.

II. DISCUSSION ITEMS:

1. Ad Hoc Development Committee Report

CMBR Thompson reported that the development committee’s discussions have focused mainly on the caves/old pool site and have only touched on the northeast corner of Johnson Drive and Roe.

Caves/Old Pool site

A presentation was made by CBC where they provided the committee with three options for the caves site. All three had an upper level component and a lower level component and they are continuing to pursue those options. Currently there are two letters of intent that are non-binding, one for the upper portion and one for the lower. For the lower property they are looking at a ground lease with Zip KC for an aerial course. The upper portion would be a land sale to a hotel development group. The committee is excited about this and feels it is a good spot for a hotel. Some specs for a hotel are in the meeting minutes. They are anticipating a four to five-story building with 95-100 rooms, a pool and meeting space. Anticipated costs would be for a \$13-14 million facility.

For the lower portion Zip KC is doing a feasibility study and working on a potential course site for review. The lower section would also include two to three pad sites that could span over the upper and lower portions. Hopefully one of these will include a restaurant. Twin Peaks Restaurant and Sports Bar has expressed an interest in the site, but the committee did not think it a good fit for Roeland Park.

To connect the upper and lower levels a road will need to be built which could be funded through a CID and a hotel tax. Included in the packet was a spreadsheet of Kansas municipalities that have hotel taxes attached. An eight percent hotel tax for Roeland Park would be in line with neighboring cities. Currently Johnson County does not have its own hotel tax.

Northeast Johnson and Roe

Work is ongoing to clear up the title regarding the KDOT easement. The biggest challenge for the property is a utility line that runs right through the site.

CMBR Fast wanted to know if development of the caves site could move forward without a CID in place. CMBR Thompson said she believed the hotel tax would fund a portion. City Administrator Moody said at eight percent the hotel tax could generate enough funds to cover the cost of the drive connection between the lower and upper levels which would wrap around the southwest corner of the site. Also anticipated is a connection between the Roe Parkway cul-de-sac and Roe Boulevard that will create an opportunity for a left turn onto the new access point off of Roe Boulevard. Mr. Moody said it's possible a CID may not be necessary. The hope is to have a restaurant and other retail operations located on the property and to have them participate in the improvements separate from the hotel tax. Whether it would be through a CID or funding directly they would anticipate the developers' participation to help pay for improvements. He added that the Council could take a position to pay for the improvements and then be reimbursed through the CID or the hotel/motel tax. This option would more than likely require borrowing on behalf of the City to do that. The expected cost of the extension of Roe Parkway, the new intersection at Roe Parkway and Roe Boulevard is to be \$250,000. The drive connection is estimated at \$350,000, and includes sanitary sewer work.

CMBR Fast said she would like there to be further discussion of owning the land versus leasing it. As a part of the Johnson County Citizens Academy, there had a presentation on leasing versus owning on the Johnson County Executive Airport and Century and said that they have done well by leasing as it pays for the airport. She recommended they might confer with Aaron Otto (previous Roeland Park City Administrator) who works for Johnson County and is now the executive director the Johnson County Airport and find out how they made money off the leasing versus selling the land with these discussions to be done before there's a final decision on the land.

City Administrator Moody said he did not believe that staff was remiss in discussing the benefits associated with leasing. In previous discussions he said that the benefits of the City maintaining ownership of the land would be the ability to control what happens with it and that it would also provide a steady long-term revenue stream. Benefits associated with selling the land is that it will create funds that can be immediately used for other improvements such as providing the resources to pay for a Public Works facility. It could also generate funds to be used to complete projects on the CIP. Mr. Moody added that he knows it is the preference of this Council is not to borrow and those resources would then be used to do capital improvement that would otherwise require borrowing to complete.

CMBR Fast asked if the ad hoc committee had talked about owning the land and creating a CID, and the City realizing the benefits of the improvements. If the City would decide later to sell the property it would benefit the taxpayers and community rather than giving a CID to a developer/landowner.

City Administrator Moody said a CID can be made to make private improvements, build structures, and purchase land. The owner would have a portion of that investment paid for with the additional sales tax. To be the beneficiary of the CID they would need to be the developer, need to pay for the buildings, which would then have the City borrowing to construct those buildings and taking further risk on whether they would be leased. City Administrator Moody said did not see how it could be done by the city without borrowing with either general obligation bonds or CID special revenue bonds.

Mayor Marquardt said the letter of intent they have received by the hotel developer if for purchase of the land. City Administrator Moody said it was decided at a previous meeting that the Council opted for a sale of the upper portion and leasing the lower portion. In their discussions, they have not spoken with the hotel developer regarding a possible land lease.

CMBR Thompson added the committee has not discussed sales versus lease on the pad sites either.

CMBR Rhoades said it states in the letter of intent the lower portion is for lease. The purpose behind leasing the lower portion is they are not familiar with the staying power of such a venture as Zip KC and in case it did not work out the City would have the ability to have control of the site and find something new.

CMBR Fast reiterated that she would like to bring this back for further discussion at another Workshop before any further decisions are made on any other land in the city as they have gotten in trouble before by selling off city land.

CMBR Thompson said the committee's intention is always to bring anything to the full Council before decisions are made and will continue to abide by that as long as the committee continues.

CMBR Fast said she would also like to have a discussion on leasing versus selling for the City's remaining land as there are so few pieces left. The caves site development is a gateway to the city and it is possible the hotel could go bankrupt and the area could become blighted very quickly and further stressed she would like more discussion on selling city land versus leasing.

CMBR Poppa said he wouldn't mind continuing this conversation again at a future Workshop. CMBR Rhoades agreed.

Mayor Marquardt said he did not want to cause concern for potential hotel development purchaser, but would like to get their input on purchasing versus leasing.

By agreement, the Governing Body will discuss this further at their February Workshop.

2. Ad Hoc Development Committee Renewal

City Administrator Moody provided a copy of Ordinance 931 that outlines the institution of committees. CMBR McNeil said if five or more Governing Body members want to be on a committee the group should come before Council noting that she, CMBR Kelly and CMBR Janssen wanted to be on the Ad Hoc Development Committee.

CMBR Kelly said she is happy with the way the committee was going. However, she is unhappy with a Councilmember who has expressed interest and really wanted to be on it but is not permitted. Ms. Kelly said she does not have time to be on another committee although she would love to be involved. She said as a result of many of the councilors wanting to be involved on committees, they had to come up with guidelines. Also after many heated discussions it resulted in people who wanted to be on the committee forfeiting a spot to accommodate others. CMBR Kelly reiterated this committee is unique and that relationships that are built between members of the committee and developers and that continuity should remain. She felt it would be too cumbersome for the committee to be comprised of all the Councilmembers.

CMBR Rhoades said if five members want to be on committee, then it should become a Council issue. If this is the case and they wish to participate the meetings are during the day and could possibly be long. These meetings also require a quorum which might be difficult to make if there are too many members who cannot make the meeting.

CMBR Poppa also agreed it would cumbersome for the entire Council to be part of the ad hoc committee. He also said that relationships have already been made and expressed his desire to remain on the committee. He also stated that anyone is welcome at any meeting. The committee takes no action, but reports to the full Council who then makes the final decision.

CMBR Thompson said she would like to remain on the committee, particularly on the caves site aspect as they are so close and there is only 12 months to spend the TIF money. She said if there is a possibility to do a second committee to work the Northeast Johnson and Roe committee, she would offer her spot to CMBR McNeil on that committee.

CMBR Fast said she would like to see an addendum added to the ordinance that at the end of next year the committee would change over as she would also like to be on the committee. She said the residents like fresh

ideas and new perspective as is evident with the changeover seen by election changes in the mayor and council position. She also agreed with CMBR Thompson with regards to the continuity of working with the same developer and the same people.

Mayor Marquardt asked CMBR Fast, CMBR Janssen and CMBR Kellerman if they would want to meet on Wednesday mornings at this point to try to work with the developer and consultant as he has not seen a lot of participation. He said this is his concern with a full group and it would be fortunate to have five members who are energetic, but added everyone does not need to participate.

CMBR Fast said she did not want to be on the committee right now, but would in a year.

CMBR McNeil said the committee is fine as it is, but did ask for more input from committee.

CMBR Thompson reminded everyone that they are always welcome to the meetings. She will make an effort to report more.

The Ad Hoc Redevelopment Committee is an open meeting and they work to stay on the topic at hand. It does not meet the rules of Council quorum and will not violate KOMA if Councilmembers wish to attend and offer their input.

City Attorney Mauer has stated that if Councilmembers are at the meeting and recognized by the committee chair, then they would be allowed to speak.

There was agreement to move this forward to the Consent Agenda at the January 17, 2017 City Council meeting.

3. 1% Arts Direction to Arts Committee

Marek Gliniecki, Chair of the Arts Advisory Committee, addressed the Governing Body regarding the ongoing discussions with Commerce Bank and the one percent for art at the northwest corner of Roe and Johnson Drive where a bank and small hospital are being built. The Arts Committee last had a meeting with Commerce Bank, City staff at the end of September 2016.

Mayor Marquardt asked Mr. Gliniecki for his personal thoughts as well as the committee's on the art and the direction they are leaning.

Mr. Gliniecki said the architect for Commerce and bank personnel presented a proposal that the Arts committee felt did not meet the one percent requirements they were seeking. There was some push back by the bank on what they felt was a suitable presentation. After further discussion Commerce stated that whatever is put on the site needs to reflect them as a corporation or an entity rather than incorporate the art directly into a city-wide concept. Mr. Gliniecki said there was a lot of back and forth dialogue and Commerce was strong in their desire that the art reflect their own needs. Mr. Gliniecki said he felt Commerce did not have a strong grasp of what art should be on a site like that. Now, that the site is divided into two owners, the hospital and the bank site, the hospital will cede to Commerce Bank all responsibility for the art. Following the meeting there was a lot of discussion between the committee, city staff and Mr. Moody, all of whom felt that Commerce Bank needed to come back with a better concept for what they wanted to do for the site, a concept of what art on the site would mean, and how it would reflect the City's needs. The committee was divided on the timelines of the art and how much to accede to Commerce as opposed to the City's overall needs.

CMBR Kelly asked if there were guidelines as to how much Commerce can spend. Mr. Gliniecki said they can obviously spend more than one percent, but it is clear that whatever goes on the site needs to be art done by an artist and located on the property of the developer and available for view by the general public basically 24/7.

CMBR Rhoades said he would like to see entryway pieces of art that match at the caves and the Commerce sites as both are gateways to Roeland Park on Roe Boulevard. Mr. Rhoades also said that most cities probably take these types of funds for such a feature and put up what they want and by including the bank in the discussions just might be stalling the project.

Mayor Marquardt said it might be a good idea to revisit how involved Commerce is in the process and also to find out if the city does have absolute approval over what art and which piece of art is installed.

City Administrator Moody acknowledged this is a unique situation and if the Council has discovered the needs now are different than what it is in the resolution, it is possible to amend that. Mr. Moody said if they are patient and continue with the history of Roeland Park and work to make these are projects complement one another and work together, then being patient is a good thing. Mr. Moody said they should start on the community visioning process for Roe Boulevard in the summer of 2017. They will also be going to market with the property at the northeast corner this summer as well. The bank's timeline does not show construction completion at the northwest corner until the end of 2017. By that time they should have direction from the community visioning and can help the bank come up with greater clarity on what the City would feel would be complimentary to what they are going to build along Roe.

Mr. Gliniecki said their concern is to make sure that they have a cohesive branding feel to their site. He agreed with Mr. Moody to work on a coordinated effort then a bigger concept can developed and accomplished. He said it will do more for the city than adding little pieces here and there that are disconnected. Mr. Gliniecki said his personal feeling is that the more that can go toward an overall strategic concept in this particular issue is going to be better for the City especially when the visioning committee comes through with their end product. He did say that there needs to be more conversations with Commerce

CMBR Poppa said it's possible Commerce Bank has misunderstood the City's intention, not understanding the impact of not allowing the residents of Roeland Park to have their entryway when the residents of Roeland Park will using their bank.

CMBR Rhoades said he would like to discuss this at a future Workshop and then move it to a Council to decide who is going to be in charge.

The Council indicated that they would like for the Arts Committee to work towards coordinating the various gateway items discussed.

CMBR Fast said she felt this is a huge task and recommended that Ms. Jones-Lacy be able to help with this. City Administrator Moody said that Public Works Director Leon will be able to help with assessing what needs to be done and to come up with a plan for each piece that would be presented for Council approval and they will move forward doing what they can with the resources that are in the budget. Mr. Moody said it's possible the Council may have to allocate future resources from future budgets to finish the project.

Mayor Marquardt said the ad hoc development committee has discussed this and has agreed that it should be a coordinated effort with the art. The bank spokesperson is an attorney and to be clear they should get the City Attorney's advice.

City Administrator Moody asked for clarification on what information they are requesting of the attorney. Mayor Marquardt said they want to know if the City has absolute approval of what art is and which art they would like to see. He also wants to know if it has to be entirely on the site. And if so, does that mean entirely on Commerce's site for their portion and on the hospital site for their portion. He does know that there is an expectation that all of the art is going to be on the Commerce site, but wanted to know if it is possible it could go on City-owned property.

Mayor Marquardt said he would like those points addressed at the next available Workshop or Council meeting and that the City Attorney is available.

The Council thanked Mr. Gliniecki for his time and CMBR Thompson said they will work to give him and the Arts Committee more direction.

4. Changes to Type 1 Special Event Terms

This was a continuation of a discussion from the last December Workshop meeting. The proposed language presented to the Governing Body was approved by the Planning Commission. Type 1 special events are ones that affect schools, religious institutions and non-profit organizations. The issue has mainly revolved around signage. The proposed changes were to allow more signage on properties for special events. The recommendation by the Planning Commission was to allow advertising signs and symbols as demarcation of a special event that would be limited to a maximum of 31 days and a maximum frequency of similar events to four non-consecutive times per calendar year. It would also provide for an unlimited number of signs. Signs would have a maximum width of eight feet in length and a maximum height of four feet above grade. The understanding by the Planning Commission from the Council was the parameters were too broad and they were looking for additional constraints.

Ms. Jones-Lacy reviewed what was discussed and as the Mayor requested, she and Management Intern Ian Hutcheson went and talked to the different churches and schools in the community to find out what their needs were for outside advertising signs for special events. Some of the recommendations or changes the Council might want to consider are:

- Limit the area of signage display, but not the number of signs.
- Limit the number of signs and symbols of any display to a specific number.
- Limit the number of signs to a set number of linear feet of lot frontage.
- Limit the signage display to a certain percentage of the lot.

Ms. Jones-Lacy expressed concerns if the lot size is considered, especially if comparing the size of the St. Agnes campus in comparison to Roesland Elementary.

Previously the Council agreed to the language listed under Section 16-703, Items A through C. There was a question in regards to Item D where it specifies the dimensions of the sign. Ms. Jones-Lacy suggested the following language.

“Advertising signs and symbols marking a special event shall be limited to a maximum duration of 31 days, and to a maximum frequency for similar events of four non-consecutive times per calendar year. The location of the signs and symbols shall be limited to a land area of 500 square feet. The maximum size of a single sign shall not exceed eight feet (8') in length and up to four feet (4') above grade. Total sign area of all signs and symbols part of the display shall not exceed 100 square feet for single-sided signs. If signage is double-sided, then it shall not exceed 200 square feet.”

Alternatively, if the Council chose not to make any changes, there is the current option that any organization that has a request that falls outside of the Type 1 through 5 Special Events ordinance can come before the Council on an annual basis and make a request for their displays.

CMBR Poppa and CMBR Thompson both had legal questions they wanted addressed by the City Attorney and recommended tabling further discussion until Mr. Mauer could be present.

CMBR Janssen asked what kind of feedback staff received from the schools and churches. Ms. Jones-Lacy said their only purpose was in asking them what their needs were for events.

CMBR Poppa said he would also like the new City Attorney to weigh in on his interpretation of signs and the symbols as actual advertising signs for a special event and would like his opinion on whether this is actually a special event.

CMBR Thompson added that she would like to know whether or not these are advertising signs. CMBR Poppa asked if there were to be a date, time, place and activities listed on each individual symbol or sign. He said the language was very ambiguous as written and did not like changing the code for all the non-profits in this manner and would like more counsel and to hear from the attorney.

CMBR Fast asked if there were home-based non-for-profits, would they fall under the sign regulations? CMBR Thompson said this would be another point of discussion with the City Attorney.

Mayor Marquardt said he believed that Bishop Miede and St. Agnes are disadvantaged in their ability to have free speech with the code written the way it is. He noted that with the size of their property they are certainly limited in their expression when compared with smaller groups and the Council should try to do something to be more equitable. He said that, yes, St. Agnes and Bishop Miede can ask for special events and could not imagine a Governing Body not granting them these small events that they have been having for a long time.

Ms. Jones-Lacy stated the reason the special event section of the code was looked into was because of the recognition of Right to Life month by the Catholic Church is a special event. She would not recommend changing the actual sign code as it would create new issues. But by limiting it to special events, this encompasses it and puts on additional restrictions that would not exist if the Governing Body were to just focus on the sign code itself.

CMBR McNeil recommended stating the specifics for St. Agnes, but CMBR Thompson said as a sign ordinance this would run afoul of First Amendment problems in creating a special amendment for one organization. Ms. Thompson said she feels the impetus behind the changes is to accommodate this one specific event, whether it is stated or not, and would leave things the way they are. She said they can come before the Governing Body every year, they have in the past, and does not see any need to change the ordinance.

City Administrator Moody said the language is a special event category that is not specific to a church. It provides for multiple churches and multiple schools. They do not feel it is a creating an ordinance for a specific entity and it is not content driven, but just limiting the areas that the signs or symbols are displayed in. He will, however, speak with Steve Mauer, the new City Attorney.

CMBR Poppa asked if the church was in violation the way the ordinance is currently written. Ms. Jones-Lacy said that previously staff had interpreted the crosses as lawn art, but previous City Attorney Shortlidge interpreted the crosses as signs and that they would be in violation of the existing city ordinance.

City Administrator Moody said that there are crosses that are on buildings and the City has taken the position that they are artistic elements a building as part of the architecture. They are not designed to attract attention the same way that the "Golden Arches" are. They are an artistic expression as part of the symbolism of that particular entity.

CMBR Thompson said that after this discussion it is evident that the Governing Body still has questions and would ask that the Mr. Mauer listen to the tape of this discussion and not the number of times this right-to-life event is referenced and also note that the proposed ordinance is specifically based to accommodate this event.

There was agreement to move this to a future Workshop when the City Attorney will be present to participate in the discussion.

CMBR Janssen asked if this was brought to light internally or from the church or the school. Ms. Jones-Lacy said the topic comes up every year due to citizen concern saying the Right to Life display is not within the City code. This is

an attempt by City staff to accommodate or rectify the issue and it seemed to be the best direction rather than making amendments. The Governing Body could just choose not to amend the code and have the people who have special events come before the Council.

5. Trash and Recycle Bin Placement and Shielding

Ms. Jones-Lacy said it is difficult from a codes enforcement perspective to enforce the code as it is currently written with regards to trash and recycle bin placement and shielding. Staff has made recommendations that containers be allowed to be placed in the rear yard behind the house (primary structure) without a screen. Containers could be placed on the side or front of the house with a single-sided shield that covered the container when looking directly upon that container from the street. They also provided an outline of proper materials that can be utilized for screens and then defined what a screen is and what it is not. They also provided an exception of screen requirements for elderly and disabled and also for some irregular lots all of which would be handled on a case-by-case basis. Also provided for is a violation notice that would require correction within 48 hours, and if not corrected followed with a civil penalty of \$10.

For an exception or exemption to the code the rule staff would go out and evaluate the lot to see what the challenges may be and approve it based on the criteria set such as for the elderly or disabled, or a lot that's particularly difficult to provide a screen and access its containers.

It appeared that the Governing Body agreed with the majority of the revisions with the exception of Number 2, placement on the side or front yard of the dwelling with proper screening. If containers are to be on the front of a house it is to be fully screened on all sides. If on the side of the house, then it needs to be screened on three sides with the house being one of those sides.

Ms. Jones-Lacy then provided photo examples to the Governing Body of receptacles throughout the city and some of the screening and placement methods used.

After presentation of the possibilities and potential exceptions CMBR Janssen said it would be difficult to legislate for all the nuances.

CMBR Thompson said that after seeing the pictures it is a little bit more onerous than she had anticipated.

CMBR Poppa said that everyone wants the city to look nice, but they do not want to put a hardship on either the residents or the staff.

CMBR McNeil said it is part of life when you live in the city you have trash cans. She said a lot of the pictures show that people have really tried hard to get them out of the way where they are not sitting in front of their houses or in front of the driveways. She said the Governing Body is trying too hard and making it harder on the people.

Ms. Jones-Lacy said this is already a very contentious issue among residents and staff receives a lot of complaints on both sides of the issue. She said the Governing Body might think about having this topic at a community forum in the future to get citizen feedback that would help to make a decision on which direction they want to go. Initial recommendations were to try to make it easier to enforce and to just target the obvious violators, but the majority of the Council wanted to do something more.

Mayor Marquardt said adding an extra post and a couple of hinges and a latch are not as onerous as they are making it out to be. He added that there are garage options and acknowledge it is a challenge. He also said the city does make exceptions for weather, the elderly and the disabled. He concluded by stating that he believes the code is acceptable as proposed.

(Mayor Marquardt exited the meeting for a prior commitment.)

Ms. Jones-Lacy said she could also reach out to the residents for the opinion through a Constant Contact or Survey Monkey. The Governing Body agreed to start with that idea. Ms. Jones-Lacy said she include as much context as possible.

CMBR Janssen questioned about the amnesty for the weather. Ms. Jones-Lacy issues would be on a case-by-case basis.

CMBR Fast said that NextDoor or Facebook might be a better venue to get opinions for trash receptacle placement as it allows for a discussion. She also stated that she hears from citizens the code is not enforced or some are not meeting current code.

City Administrator Moody said this new code will be reducing the requirements.

It was agreed that staff will get more citizen feedback and will bring the item back for discussion at the January City Council meeting. They later decided to postpone this discussion until after the community forum where it will be added as a topic.

CMBR Rhoades also asked the other Councilmembers to reach out to people they know and get input from them.

6. November Financials

Ms. Jones-Lacy said the major revenue categories are really good overall for the November, either coming in on target or slightly ahead of 2016 projections. All property taxes have been collected for the year and sales and use taxes are coming in strong. Franchise fees continue to be down, but compared to projections are doing well, as are court fines.

Revenues and permits in the General Fund are underperforming primarily due to a decline in business license collections. They anticipate by the end of the year being down \$5,000 from the previous year. Fines are down, but that is anticipated because of the lower court fines. Franchise fees are also lower, but that is anticipated as has been discussed in previous months. Other income is up due to an increase in interest earnings and rental rates from the third floor condos. As of November, expenditures are \$21,205 or five percent higher than this time last year.

In investments the City has nearly \$4.5 million in both government and agency bonds. Their initial investment was \$2.4 million and it is anticipated total interest collection will be around \$52,000 for this year.

In November, the City had collected 96 percent of all projected revenue. They had spent 80 percent of all expected expenditures for the year. In November, the city also made several debt payments of both principal and interest. They also made debt payments out of the General Fund and TIF-2. The total debt service paid in November was \$1,074,300.

Retail sales had shown a five percent year-to-date increase and overall Johnson County is up 4.4 percent from the prior year.

CMBR Fast said that there should be a pay-off party on the debt service of R Park.

7. Community Forums for 2017

City Clerk Bohon said the January 23rd Community Forum will be presented by Ward II and the topics will be City branding, property tax lid and the trash receptacle issue. The April Community Forum will be hosted by Ward I. Ms. Bohon said the Community Center is now available on Mondays and recommended a possible date of April 24th. She will check to make sure that date is available. Also May 24th is set for the budget community forum.

Potential dates for upcoming forums are July 24 for Ward III and October 23 for Ward IV.

III. NON-AGENDA ITEMS

There were no items to discuss.

IV. ADJOURNMENT

CMBR Thompson adjourned the meeting.

(Roeland Park Governing Body Workshop Adjourned.)